

***Summary Statistical Report:  
June 2007\****

***Animal Services Department, Orange County,  
North Carolina***

*Introduction*

This is the Animal Services Department's monthly statistical report for the month of June 2007. Each month a standard set of summary statistics are generated regarding our core or essential services and activities. These are essential for purposes of effective management and administration in different program areas, in both our Animal Control and Animal Sheltering Divisions. At the same time, these statistics are an invaluable tool in communicating to various stakeholders, the general public and our elected officials and County management the scope and extent of animal services.

Notably, these monthly statistics provide a basis for thinking about sheltering practices in a broader context. Adoption and euthanasia numbers must be understood in the context of a multitude of other influential factors, including the number of animals that come into Orange County's Animal Shelter and the availability of medical and behavioral resources. By far the greatest factor influencing sheltering practices in our own community and others is that of "pet overpopulation," a community-wide problem consisting of a large number animals for whom there are no homes. As we continue to push forward to find better and more innovative ways in which to improve, we must remember that all of these factors work together to create the broader challenge we must address as a department, a county, and a community.

*Standard Statistics*

Each of our monthly reports contains various statistics that summarize the various activities of the County's Animal Services Department. These are standard statistics insofar as for a given month, such as June, they report on the same core or essential services. They are all presented at the end of the narrative portion of this report.

Some statistics capture the overall effort of all staff as they work together to provide integrated and coordinated animal services to the citizens of Orange County. These include:

1. *A.1. Monthly Demographics* (which shows from where and how animals arrived at Orange County's Animal Shelter).
2. *A.2. Monthly Shelter Activity* (which shows total animal intakes and dispositions).

---

\* Questions about the statistics or narrative discussion may be addressed to Andi Morgan, Administrative Assistant, Orange County Animal Services at [amorgan@co.orange.nc.us](mailto:amorgan@co.orange.nc.us).

Other statistics are more specific in summarizing the services and activities of our department's Animal Sheltering and Animal Control Divisions. These include:

### **Animal Sheltering**

1. *B.1. Monthly Dispositions* (which reports the dispositions of all animals sheltered in a given month)
2. *B.2. Live Release for Placeable and Recovered Animals* (discussed in detail in the following sections).
3. *B.3. Reasons for Euthanasia* (which reports the specific reasons for which animals were euthanized in a given month)
4. *B.4. Volunteer Hours* (reports volunteer hours and how they compare to previous months and years)

### **Animal Control**

1. *C.1. Rabies Exposures Investigated and Positive Cases* (which reports positive rabies tests and related investigations conducted by Animal Control Officers).
2. *C.2. Rabies Alert Map* (showing positive cases year-to-date, by comparison with previous years, and their location throughout Orange County).
3. *C.3. Rabies Vaccinations* (which reports the number of vaccinations given by area veterinarians, at low-cost vaccination clinics organized by our department, and at Orange County's Animal Shelter).

Together, these monthly statistics provide a convenient summary of our critical services and activities. In a very transparent manner, they describe the efforts of our staff in delivering field services, sheltering animals whose dispositions they must ultimately decide, and programs such as our volunteer program that, among other things, is responsible for public outreach activities and dog walking.

### *Statistical Development*

The summary statistics provided in our monthly reports are not static. They must be subject to change as our services are redefined, our practices evolve, and we produce the most useful statistical information.

A good example of change is table *B.3. Reasons for Euthanasia*. Staff captured and encouraged the reporting of this information to make adoption figures, as well as the reasons for euthanasia, more meaningful and transparent. It does matter greatly whether a behaviorally sound and healthy animal is euthanized as a result of space limitations or an animal is euthanized because it is aggressive or infirm.

For example, in the month of June, the most common cat euthanasia reason was feral, with 94 feral cats euthanized. This number made up 44 percent of all cats euthanized during the month and 31 percent of all animals euthanized. For dogs, the two primary

reasons for euthanasia for the month were medical (38) and behavioral (25). Together, they made up 80 percent of the dogs euthanized and 21 percent of all animals euthanized for the month.

Also, we anticipate further development of the euthanasia categories that are used to report such information. Of special note is the generation of more specific information concerning the medical and behavioral reasons for euthanasia—not only because this clarifies the difficult decisions that staff must make on daily basis but because such information enables us to discuss whether there are specific programs that may be offered to reduce the rate of euthanasia of certain animals.

Finally, our intention is to link specific monthly reports such as this one to date about trends in our services and activities. What we foresee is a related set of graphs showing monthly data over time that enable us to identify and evaluate trends in key services and activities in relation to policies, practices and our own mission. As allowed by time in the coming year, these graphs will be prepared and they are expected to be presented along with reports of standard statistics for a given month.

### *Adoptions and “Lives Releases”*

The placement of healthy and behaviorally sound animal companions is one of the foremost objectives of Orange County’s Animal Services Department. The direct placement or “re-homing” of small animals, cats and dogs occurs through our own adoption program. In addition, many companion animals are transferred to “placement partners”—that is, non-breed as well as breed specific rescue organizations for cats and dogs (as well as other animals)—for their final placement on the basis of additional effort and evaluation by these various animal organizations.

Adoptions and transfer are two of the three elements of what may be referred to as “live releases.” Animal companions recovered by their owners or a custodian—usually if not always “stray” animals brought to Orange County’s Animal Shelter either by one of our Animal Control Officers or a member of the public—form the third element of animals that are released alive.

Using this basic formula, it is possible to calculate the total (or absolute) number of animals that are released alive from Orange County’s Shelter.<sup>1</sup> The figures needed to make this calculation are provided by table *B.1. Monthly Dispositions: June, 2007*. For

---

<sup>1</sup> It should be said that we are using “live release” rather than “placement” for two very specific reasons as well as because it has become a convention in the field of animal welfare since the appearance of the Asilomar Accords (<http://www.asilomaraccords.org>). One reason is that it includes all animals that are not euthanized, i.e., transfers to placement partners and returns to owners and custodians as well as direct adoptions. The other reason is that it does not presume that an animal transferred to placement partners is, in fact, “re-homed.” In the absence of feedback data from these organizations, which is desirable and an objective toward which we are working, there is no way to know what decision they have ultimately made about the disposition of a particular animal.

the month, there was a total of 230 “live releases,” consisting of 140 adoptions, 35 transfers and 55 returns to owners or custodians.

Moreover, it is possible to determine the rate of live release for placeable animals and animals that have been recovered for a given month. Placeable animals do not include animals surrendered by their owner for euthanasia (usually due to illness, infirmity or a behavior problem); feral cats (as these are typically not placed); or animals that died before arriving at the shelter or in our custody as a result of an “untreatable” injury or illness. As shown by Table B.2. *Live Release for Placeable and Recovered Animals*, for the month of June this “live release rate” is 53 percent.

The number of placeable animals is determined by subtracting animals surrendered for euthanasia, feral cats, and deceased animals from the total number of animals dispositioned in a given month. For the month of June, there were 534 total animals dispositioned. When we remove the animals surrendered for euthanasia, the feral cats and those animals that arrived dead or died of an untreatable injury while at the shelter, we are left with 431 “placeable” animals. To find the “live release rate,” we must then divide the total number of “live releases” (230) by the total number of potentially placeable animals whose disposition was decided in the month (431)—and as Table B.2 shows, the rate is 53 percent for the month of June.<sup>2</sup>

Finally, with respect to adoptions themselves, several observations can be made. By comparison with June 2006, there is a slight decrease in the number of adoptions (140 vs. 163). However, there is an increase when compared to the five preceding months of this year, during which adoption figures ranged from 66 (in February) to 118 (in May). The same is true when we compare the “live release” rates for the first five months of this year, during which it ranged from 155 (in February) to 228 (in May); June’s “live release rate,” however, was 230.

### *Pet Demographics and Overpopulation*

It is often said that pet problems are people problems, and that pet overpopulation is a community problem. These insights very much apply to our own circumstances and they help create a broader perspective in which to understand our own sheltering statistics.

More specifically, the number of animals that are sheltered in a given period of time is itself not caused by or a direct function of our sheltering operations and practices. The number of unwanted animals in our communities- animals sometimes referred to as “surplus” pets; the extent to which cats, rabbits and dogs alike are spayed or neutered rather than allowed to remain intact and reproduce; the strength of norms and customs of responsible pet ownership; the scope and strength of animal regulations and their

---

<sup>2</sup>In our previous monthly reports, this was referred to as the “adoptable placement rate” versus the “gross placement rate,” insofar as the latter was determined on the basis of all animals dispositioned in a given month.

effective enforcement; and other such “variables” are what affect the number of animals that are sheltered in our own and other communities.

### Monthly and Seasonal Variations

Month by month there are significant changes in the numbers of cats, dogs and other animals that are sheltered at Orange County’s Animal Shelter. In very general terms, there is a significant “spread” in the number of animals that are sheltered each month, which reflect the seasonal nature of pet demographics and animal sheltering in our own and other communities.

During the peak summer months, the numbers are much higher than in other months of the year. In June, for instance, 733 animals were sheltered. Whereas this is comparable to the number sheltered last August (751), it is twice as high as the number sheltered this past February (359). Similarly, in June 488 animals were admitted to the shelter—a staggering number that equates to 16 animals per day over that thirty-day period. This is the highest number of animals admitted to the shelter since August of 2006, and it is almost twice as many as the lowest number of animals admitted during that ten-month period (the 262 animals admitted in February 2007).

Moreover, it should be underscored that the “live release rate” for a given time period is determined by the number of animals that are sheltered as well as the absolute or total number of animals that are adopted, transferred, or recovered by their owner. It may well be that there is a very high percentage animals that are released alive in a given month but that the absolute number is lower than in other months because of the number of animals that come to the shelter.

With respect to the month of June, for instance, a higher number of animals were released alive than in May—230 versus 228; however, the percentage of live releases is lower in June than in May—53 percent versus 70 percent. Quite simply, the reason for this is that the number of animal sheltered was higher this month than last month (733 versus 639).

### Addressing Pet Overpopulation

To work toward the desideratum of ending euthanasia as a means of population control, it is thus essential to positively impact the number of animals that come into Orange County’s Animal Shelter in the course of the year. Essential to this is a community spay/neuter program for animal companions such as dogs and cats that promotes responsible pet ownership and enables all community members to spay and neuter their own pets. Developing such a program through the County’s Animal Services Department, in coordination with the Animal Services Advisory Board, remains a fundamental and realizable objective for the foreseeable future.

No less essential is progressive legislation that is enforced in an effective, fair and reasonable manner. A starting point is what is sometimes referred to as “differential licensing”—that is, licensing or registration fees that are much lower for spayed and neutered animals than for intact animals. Other legislative options include an extra redemption fee for intact animals recovered from the Animal Shelter, which may be refunded if they are sterilized within a given time period, and permit systems that require a permit for dogs and cats that are going to be bred.

Moreover, there is an overwhelming need to address the deep-seated problem of feral cats in Orange County. Month after month feral cats (as defined by their community history and/or observed behaviors in the context of the shelter) are the largest single group of animals that are euthanized. In June, 94 feral cats were euthanized, and they comprised 44 percent of all cats euthanized during the month (and 31 percent of all animals euthanized).

The euthanasia of feral cats is not in fact a “shelter problem,” but rather a community problem that needs to be addressed as such. There is a great need for initiatives in the area of public education to raise awareness insofar as euthanasia is the end result for many of these creatures, and their presence must be considered in relation to the risk of rabies, given the ongoing and endemic nature of so-called “raccoon rabies” in our County and region. Thus addressing the problem of feral cats must become part of a strategic plan for the County’s Animal Services Department, again working in coordination with our concerned citizenry through the Animal Services Advisory Board.

These are illustrations of the kinds of the positive and proactive approaches that are needed to address the problem of pet overpopulation as a community problem. In working to reduce the number of unwanted animals that must be sheltered in our own communities, we would also expect improvements in some of the outcomes of our sheltering practices. We should all look forward to the day when there are very good numbers of adoptions and “live releases” but no less notable ongoing decreases in the number of animals that come to our shelter.

#### *Rabies Cycle, Rabies Prevention and Rabies Control*

With respect to rabies control—historically a core function of animal control—we have continued to see positive rabies tests based upon public reporting and our surveillance of possible exposures of people and/or their pets. Table C.1. *Rabies Exposures and Investigated and Positive Cases* shows that this month there was one (1) positive rabies test, for a dog. This test brought the total number of positive tests to twelve (12) for 2007. In 2006 there were twenty-seven positive cases—eighteen (18) raccoons, four (4) bats, four (4) skunks, and one (1) fox.

Our own public education activities stress that pet owners not only need to be sure that their pets are vaccinated and licensed but that a dog or cat with a current rabies vaccination must receive a booster shot within seventy-two (72) hours of any exposure to

rabies. Unless an exposed pet with a current vaccination receives a booster shot within that time frame, the requirements of North Carolina law is the same as for an unvaccinated animal—namely, euthanasia or a six (6) month quarantine. In this regard, staff stresses the immediate reporting of any possible contact to animal control and/or public health authorities to ensure the health of all members of a household—human and pet alike.

As can also be seen from the table on rabies exposures, one (1) positive test in the month resulted from our Animal Control Officers investigation of twenty-three (23) cases in which there were possible rabies exposures. Usually, these are cases involving known or suspected contact between a domestic small animal such as a dog or a cat and a wild animal such as a raccoon, as well as whether there is an indirect contact between a human and pet member of a household in these situations. But our Animal Control Officers are also responsible for bite reports involving dogs and cats (as well as other animals) and a human victim. In these cases, it is a legal requirement and public health precaution that a dog or cat biting a human being be contained and observed for a period of ten (10) days—thereby ensuring that the bite victim was not exposed to the rabies virus.

Efforts to ensure current vaccination, for the welfare of pets and the people with whom they come into contact, are ongoing and activities in this area are captured by vaccination statistics compiled by our Animal Control Division. As is ordinarily the case, the majority of these vaccinations – 1,234 out of a total of 1,413 vaccinations – continue to be performed at veterinary establishments.

The Animal Services Department organized one low-cost rabies vaccination clinic for Orange County in the month of June, and a total of one hundred and twelve (112) cats and dogs were vaccinated at this clinic. In addition, another sixty-seven (67) animals were vaccinated at our Animal Shelter prior to their adoption or recovery.

All of these activities—public education and outreach, investigations and enforcement, and providing low-cost vaccination clinics—are critical given that Orange County continues to be affected by the epidemic of “eastern raccoon rabies” that began in the latter half of the 1990s. Their essential nature is further underscored by the current view that we are on the upside of a raccoon rabies cycle that may bring even higher numbers of confirmed rabies cases than we have seen in recent years. North Carolina public health veterinarians have articulated this view because of their awareness that last year 520 animals were confirmed rabid by North Carolina’s rabies laboratory, an increase of 62 cases from the year before.

#### *Special Monthly Notes*

There have been several challenges and changes that have affected animal disposition numbers this month. One of the most notable has been the typical seasonal increase of animal intakes during spring and summer, a clear indication of the ongoing problem of pet overpopulation.

Another notable challenge over the last six months has been the housing of 17 dogs that were confiscated due to a cruelty case. In May, nine of these dogs were humanely euthanized due to medical or behavioral problems associated with their previous socialization and environment, but eight of the dogs still remain in the care of the Animal Shelter. Two of these dogs are in foster care and the other six continue to be housed in the shelter itself, reducing the available kennel space the shelter has to house other animals.

In a similar vein, nine dogs were euthanized in June because they were “rabies suspects.” These dogs were released by their owner because they were known to have contact with another dog that tested positive for rabies. Otherwise, it would have been necessary for them to have been quarantined for a six-month period pursuant to the requirements of North Carolina General Statute.

Yet the numbers of direct adoptions as well as “live releases” are the highest they have been since the beginning of the year. These very positive indicators reflect the varied efforts of staff (discussed in detail in previous monthly reports). In addition to their work with potential adopters, they have built and maintained important relationships with various placement partners. They have also initiated new marketing activities including community posters and targeted ads that are intended to supplement ongoing outreach activities in raising the public profile of Orange County’s Animal Shelter and Animal Services Department.

### *Conclusion*

As a very young department that came into existence in 2005, Animal Services continues to develop new ways in which to better serve the animals and citizens of the county. As suggested in our discussion of pet overpopulation, there are a number of initiatives that must be taken to effectively address the underlying problem of pet overpopulation. These include the creation of a spay/neuter fund and an effective program to address feral cats, among others, and steps are being taken toward those goals by the department in coordination with the Animal Services Advisory Board.

Also, on behalf of its citizens the County has committed itself to building a new animal services facility. With the opening of this facility at the end of 2008, for the first time Animal Control, the Animal Shelter, and Administrative staff will be housed under the same roof. In addition to providing some true economies of scale, and better allowing the coordination of animal services, it is expected that this facility will help to overcome one of the major inhibitions to our placement efforts—namely, the fear and inhibition that members of the public have toward earlier generations of shelters which are often perceived as dreadful places.

As it is being designed as a resource center that is an attractive public destination, the new facility is expected to have a very positive affect on the number of adoptions,

transfers, community outreach programs, public visitors— and much more. With its innovative and modern design, this new facility will become a community asset and help further staff efforts to deliver integrated and coordinated animal services to the citizens of Orange County. As well, it is intended and expected to enhance our adoption activities and increase our average rate of “live releases” at the same time as it enabled our staff to work with community stakeholders to more effectively address and ultimately resolve the pressing problem of pet overpopulation.

**STANDARD MONTHLY STATISTICS:  
June 2007**

**A. Overview Statistics**

***A.1. Demographics: June 2007***

	<b>Animal Control</b>		<b>From Public</b>		<b>Total Incoming</b>	<b>Percent of Total</b>
	Strays (1)	Surrender	Strays	Surrender		
Carrboro	9	3	9	4	<b>25</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
Chapel Hill	12	12	44	40	<b>108</b>	<b>22.1%</b>
Hillsborough	12	5	27	23	<b>67</b>	<b>12.7%</b>
Orange County	165	48	19	25	<b>257</b>	<b>52.7%</b>
Other County	0	0	7	8	<b>15</b>	<b>3.1%</b>
Unknown	0	0	16	0	<b>16</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
			Animal Control	<b>266</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	
Strays	<b>320</b>	<b>65.6%</b>	Public	<b>222</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	
Surrenders	<b>168</b>	<b>34.4%</b>				

(1) "Strays" under Animal Control Demographics also includes quarantined animals that have not been surrendered.

***A.2 Shelter Activity: June 2007***

	<b>Dogs</b>	<b>Cats</b>	<b>Others</b>	<b>Total</b>
In Shelter Custody as of June 1, 2007	95	147	3	<b>245</b>
				<b>+</b>
Total Admitted June 2007	195	282	11	<b>488</b>
Sheltered in June 2007	290	429	14	<b>733</b>
				<b>-</b>
Dispositions for June 2007 (1)	203	321	10	<b>534</b>
Remaining in Shelter Custody on July 1, 2007 (2)	<b>87</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>199</b>

(1) Includes corrections to previous month

(2) Within Shelter: 86 dogs, 115 cats, 2 other  
In foster Homes/ Pet Stores: 9 dogs, 32 cats, 1 other

**B. Animal Sheltering Statistics*****B.1. Total Disposition: June 2007***

	<b>Dogs</b>	<b>Cats</b>	<b>Others</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>% of Total Dispositions</b>
Reclaimed	50	5	0	55	<b>10.30%</b>
Adopted	51	83	6	140	<b>26.22%</b>
Transferred (1)	16	17	2	35	<b>6.55%</b>
Euthanized	83	215	2	300	<b>56.18%</b>
Died	3	1	0	4	<b>0.75%</b>
Other	0	0	0	0	<b>0.00%</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>534</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

(1) Transferred to Rescue Service

***B.2 Live Releases for Placeable and Recovered Animals: June 2007(1)***

	<b>Dogs</b>	<b>Cats</b>	<b>Others</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>% of Total Dispositions</b>
Reclaimed	50	5	0	55	<b>12.76%</b>
Adopted	51	83	6	140	<b>32.48%</b>
Transferred (2)	16	17	2	35	<b>8.12%</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>53.36%</b>

(1) Adoptable does not include Surrender for Euthanasia or feral cats

(2) Transferred to Rescue Service


**B.3. Reasons for Euthanasia: June 2007**

	<b>Dogs</b>		<b>Cats</b>		<b>Others</b>	
	Count	% of Total Euthanized By Reason	Count	% of Total Euthanized By Reason	Count	% of Total Euthanized By Reason
Age	5	6.02%	9	4.19%	0	0.00%
Behavioral (1)	25	30.12%	19	8.84%	0	0.00%
Feline Leukemia	0	0.00%	17	7.91%	0	0.00%
Feral	0	0.00%	94	43.72%	0	0.00%
Heartworm	5	6.02%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Medical (2)	38	45.78%	37	17.21%	2	100.00%
Pregnant	1	1.20%	2	0.93%	0	0.00%
Owner Request	3	3.61%	1	0.47%	0	00.00%
Space	6	7.23%	36	16.74%	0	0.00%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

(1) "Behavioral" includes a wide range of behavioral issues that may prevent an animal from being available for adoption to the public. These issues vary widely between cats, dogs and other animals, and can include aggression, lack of socialization, severe kennel stress or other factors that make the animal a risk if placed back into the community.

(2) "Medical" includes a wide range of conditions and diseases that may prevent an animal from being available for adoption to the public due to unsound health. These conditions range in severity and differ greatly between cats, dog and other animals. Additionally, because we test animals for certain diseases as a standard protocol, these are often more easily identifiable and thus can be separated as a specific condition statistic. For example, the "Feline Leukemia" category above is listed separately, though it is a medical condition.

**D. Volunteer Hours: June 2007**




**C. Animal Control Statistics**

***C.1. Rabies Exposures Investigated and Positive Cases: June 2007***

	<u>Dogs</u>	<u>Cats</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>Exposures Investigated</b>	4	4	15	<b>23</b>
<b>Tested Positive</b>	1	0	0	<b>1</b>

***C.2. Rabies Alert Map: June 2007***

Source: News of Orange



*C.3. Rabies Vaccinations: June 2007*

	<b>Dogs</b>	<b>Cats</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
Vaccinated at Shelter	57	10	0	<b>67</b>
Vaccinated at Vet Clinics	648	586	0	<b>1234</b>
Vaccinated at Rabies Clinics	66	46	0	<b>112</b>
<b>Total Vaccinations</b>	<b>771</b>	<b>642</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1413</b>