

Master Aging Plan Housing Work Group - Prioritization



1. Please prioritize the following objectives.

	1	2	3	4	5	Response Count
Objective 1: Orange County and the towns of Chapel Hill, Carrboro and Hillsborough will jointly develop a housing plan for Orange County's increasing older adult population.	75.0% (9)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	25.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	12
Objective 2: Protect and increase the number of affordable housing options for low and middle-income older adults.	45.5% (5)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	9.1% (1)	11
Objective 3: Identify and organize older adults who want to create intentional communities (e.g., co-housing).	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	9.1% (1)	45.5% (5)	11
Objective 4: Increase the proportion of the housing stock that accommodates the needs of older adults through universal design features.	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	11
Objective 5: Encourage the participation of older adults and their advocates in ongoing housing planning efforts in Orange County.	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	36.4% (4)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	11
					answered question	12
					skipped question	1

2. Do you have any comments on the wording of this objective?

Response
Count

8

answered question

8

skipped question

5

3. Do you have any comments on the wording of this strategy?

Response
Count

7

answered question

7

skipped question

6

4. Do you have any information about assessing the cost for this objective/strategy?

Response
Count

8

answered question

8

skipped question

5

5. Please prioritize the strategies listed for MAP Goal 6, Objective 2.

	1	2	3	4	Response Count
Strategy 2: Look at existing regulations and policies that might prevent the development of senior housing (e.g. zoning, restrictions on ADUs, school impact fees, expanding low-income eligibility).	54.5% (6)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	11
Strategy 3: Streamline the land use review process for housing options for older adults.	27.3% (3)	45.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	27.3% (3)	11
Strategy 4: Encourage and endorse partnerships that lead to the development of new housing options for older adults (e.g. commercial builders, non-profits, faith communities)	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	54.5% (6)	9.1% (1)	11
Strategy 5: Increase the amount of housing cost subsidies available in Orange County (e.g. rental assistance, tax credits, home ownership assistance).	9.1% (1)	45.5% (5)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	11
answered question					11
skipped question					2

6. Do you have any comments about the wording of this objective?

	Response Count
	6
answered question	6
skipped question	7

7. Do you have any comments about the wording of any of these objectives?

	Response Count
	5
answered question	5
skipped question	8

8. Do you have any information about how to assess the cost?

	Response Count
	4
answered question	4
skipped question	9

9. Do you have any comments on the wording of this objective?

	Response Count
	7
answered question	7
skipped question	6

10. Do you have any information about how to assess the cost of this objective?

	Response Count
	6
answered question	6
skipped question	7

11. Please prioritize the strategies for MAP Goal 5, Objective 5.

	1	2	3	4	5	Response Count
Strategy 8: Sponsor opportunities to facilitate conversation and advocacy about what kinds of housing Orange County residents want and need (e.g., forum, listserv).	30.0% (3)	20.0% (2)	20.0% (2)	10.0% (1)	20.0% (2)	10
Strategy 9: Educate older adults and their advocates about the need for attendance at housing related meetings.	0.0% (0)	40.0% (4)	10.0% (1)	40.0% (4)	10.0% (1)	10
Strategy 10: Create a sub-committee of the OCDoA Advisory Board on Aging to participate in the planning effort/focus on housing issues.	22.2% (2)	33.3% (3)	33.3% (3)	11.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	9
Strategy 11: Encourage town council and developers to consider older residents' needs to age in place/age in community and not be forced out of new redevelopments.	30.0% (3)	30.0% (3)	10.0% (1)	20.0% (2)	10.0% (1)	10
Strategy 12: Ongoing community education about aging issues.	30.0% (3)	20.0% (2)	20.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	30.0% (3)	10
answered question						10
skipped question						3

12. Do you have any comments on the wording of this objective?

	Response Count
	4
answered question	4
skipped question	9

13. Do you have any comments on the wording of these strategies?

	Response Count
	3
answered question	3
skipped question	10

14. Do you have any information on how to assess the cost of these objectives/strategies?

	Response Count
	4
answered question	4
skipped question	9

15. Do you have any information about potential existing data sources or indicators to measure any of these objectives or strategies?

	Response Count
	5
answered question	5
skipped question	8

16. Please prioritize the strategies listed for MAP Goal 2, Objective 4. 1=biggest priority and 5=least priority.

	1	2	Response Count
Strategy 6: Recommend that the state of North Carolina 1) Develop mandatory Universal Design/visitability standards for all new housing developments and 2) incorporate universal design features into the state's Health and Safety Code for builders so that buyers can opt-in to universal design features.	50.0% (5)	50.0% (5)	10
Strategy 7: Create county-level incentives or ordinances for new developers to adopt Universal Design features (e.g. financial incentives, building certification, streamlined permitting, construction permitting, construction permit fee waivers, establish a certification program to "brand" housing options meeting accessibility standards under a recognized label.)	66.7% (6)	33.3% (3)	9
		answered question	10
		skipped question	3

17. Do you have any comments on the wording of this objective?

	Response Count
	5
answered question	5
skipped question	8

18. Do you have any comments on the wording of these strategies?

	Response Count
	4
answered question	4
skipped question	9

19. Do you have any information about how to assess the cost of these objectives and strategies?

	Response Count
	4
answered question	4
skipped question	9

Page 2, Q2. Do you have any comments on the wording of this objective?

1	no	Feb 6, 2012 9:53 AM
2	no	Feb 5, 2012 6:45 AM
3	No	Feb 3, 2012 5:14 PM
4	No, agree with the above statement	Feb 3, 2012 2:17 PM
5	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:12 AM
6	Define older	Feb 2, 2012 10:36 AM
7	I would remove the municipalities and address this strictly to the County. After all the municipalities are in the County	Feb 1, 2012 11:34 AM
8	It would be simpler and have a higher likelihood of getting done if we eliminate the municipalities. Just focus on the Count, because the municipalities are within the County.	Feb 1, 2012 10:16 AM

Page 2, Q3. Do you have any comments on the wording of this strategy?

1	strategy #4 is too broad--how do you do this?	Feb 6, 2012 9:53 AM
2	No	Feb 3, 2012 5:14 PM
3	No, agree with the above statement	Feb 3, 2012 2:17 PM
4	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:12 AM
5	Is income the only relevant discriminator? How about functional status?	Feb 2, 2012 10:36 AM
6	Same - delete references to municipalities - it'll never get done if it requires three or four entities to do it	Feb 1, 2012 11:34 AM
7	Same - focus just on the County but ask that the study include the municipalities	Feb 1, 2012 10:16 AM

Page 2, Q4. Do you have any information about assessing the cost for this objective/strategy?

1	2K using City and Regional Planning interns	Feb 5, 2012 11:25 AM
2	possible cost - staff time needed for study or hiring outside consultant. could use available resources from local universities like UNC, Duke or NCCU.	Feb 4, 2012 8:27 PM
3	N/A	Feb 3, 2012 5:14 PM
4	No, better answered by builders/developers	Feb 3, 2012 2:17 PM
5	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:12 AM
6	As the aging population increases, the needs will rise and the cost of care will be cumbersome at best. Planning ahead for Aging in Place would be prudent in order to avoid a big mess. If we , as an aging population will be a source of tax revenue, there should provisions to assist the upcoming situation.	Feb 2, 2012 9:30 AM
7	No	Feb 1, 2012 11:34 AM
8	no	Feb 1, 2012 10:16 AM

Page 3, Q6. Do you have any comments about the wording of this objective?

1	Consider "preserve" instead of "protect"	Feb 6, 2012 10:15 AM
2	"Evaluate" vs "Look at"	Feb 5, 2012 6:48 AM
3	No, I agree with the wording	Feb 3, 2012 2:20 PM
4	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:14 AM
5	Looks good	Feb 1, 2012 11:35 AM
6	Looks good	Feb 1, 2012 10:19 AM

Page 3, Q7. Do you have any comments about the wording of any of these objectives?

1	No, I agree with the wording	Feb 3, 2012 2:20 PM
2	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:14 AM
3	Seems to be a high degree of overlap between 2 and 3	Feb 2, 2012 10:37 AM
4	Look good	Feb 1, 2012 11:35 AM
5	You mean strategies - rather than objectives. Look good	Feb 1, 2012 10:19 AM

Page 3, Q8. Do you have any information about how to assess the cost?

1	maybe some lost of funds since developers make limit housing due to cost	Feb 4, 2012 8:29 PM
2	No, not my field	Feb 3, 2012 2:20 PM
3	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:14 AM
4	# 5 will be the most expensive	Feb 1, 2012 11:35 AM

Page 4, Q9. Do you have any comments on the wording of this objective?

1	no	Feb 5, 2012 6:48 AM
2	No, I agree with the wording	Feb 3, 2012 2:21 PM
3	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:14 AM
4	Better define intentional communities. Not just identify and organize, but help them actually do it.	Feb 2, 2012 10:38 AM
5	Identify and assist in organing.....	Feb 2, 2012 9:33 AM
6	Looks good	Feb 1, 2012 11:36 AM
7	NO	Feb 1, 2012 10:21 AM

Page 4, Q10. Do you have any information about how to assess the cost of this objective?

1	no	Feb 5, 2012 6:48 AM
2	No, not my field	Feb 3, 2012 2:21 PM
3	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:14 AM
4	Get volunteers or speakers who can develop or comment on cost benefits of intentional communities	Feb 2, 2012 9:33 AM
5	Who will organize these meetings? If County staff that will bear a cost	Feb 1, 2012 11:36 AM
6	Who will do the organizing and convening of this group? If County staff, that is an expense.	Feb 1, 2012 10:21 AM

Page 5, Q12. Do you have any comments on the wording of this objective?

1	no	Feb 5, 2012 6:50 AM
2	No, I agree with the wording	Feb 3, 2012 2:25 PM
3	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:15 AM
4	Looks good	Feb 1, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 5, Q13. Do you have any comments on the wording of these strategies?

1	No, I agree with the wording	Feb 3, 2012 2:25 PM
2	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:15 AM
3	No	Feb 1, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 5, Q14. Do you have any information on how to assess the cost of these objectives/strategies?

1	no	Feb 5, 2012 6:50 AM
2	No, not my field	Feb 3, 2012 2:25 PM
3	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:15 AM
4	No	Feb 1, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 6, Q15. Do you have any information about potential existing data sources or indicators to measure any of these objectives or strategies?

1	no	Feb 5, 2012 6:51 AM
2	No, not presently	Feb 3, 2012 2:25 PM
3	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:16 AM
4	It seems to me that for those objective that can be, there ought to be quantifiable goals/targets and timelines so that progress can, in fact, be measured, For example, once a housing study is done, then it ought to be possible to develop quantifiable goals for various types of housing, etc.	Feb 2, 2012 10:41 AM
5	no	Feb 1, 2012 11:38 AM

Page 7, Q17. Do you have any comments on the wording of this objective?

1	"...standards applicable to a significant fraction of all new..."	Feb 5, 2012 6:53 AM
2	No, I agree with the wording	Feb 3, 2012 2:27 PM
3	Wasn't sure how to complete this survey page	Feb 2, 2012 11:18 AM
4	Is universal design enough. Are there other things that need to be addressed?	Feb 2, 2012 10:41 AM
5	no	Feb 1, 2012 11:40 AM

Page 7, Q18. Do you have any comments on the wording of these strategies?

1	no	Feb 5, 2012 6:53 AM
2	No, I agree with the wording	Feb 3, 2012 2:27 PM
3	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:18 AM
4	rather than "new developers" we might want to say new developments.	Feb 1, 2012 11:40 AM

Page 7, Q19. Do you have any information about how to assess the cost of these objectives and strategies?

1	no	Feb 5, 2012 6:53 AM
2	No, not my field	Feb 3, 2012 2:27 PM
3	no	Feb 2, 2012 11:18 AM
4	no	Feb 1, 2012 11:40 AM